Abstract

We present WebNLP, a web-based tool that combines natural language processing (NLP) functionality from Python NLTK and text visualizations from Voyant in an integrated interface. Language data can be uploaded via the website. The results of the processed data are displayed as plain text, XML markup, or Voyant visualizations in the same website. WebNLP aims at facilitating the usage of NLP tools for users without technical skills and experience with command line interfaces. It also makes up for the shortcomings of the popular text analysis tool Voyant, which, up to this point, is lacking basic NLP features such as lemmatization or POS tagging.

1 Introduction

Modern corpus linguistics has been on the rise since the late 1980s (Hardie, 2012), largely because of the availability of vast amounts of digital texts and computer tools for processing this kind of data. Since then, corpus linguistics has produced a number of important subfields, such as web as a corpus (cf. Kilgarriff and Grefenstette, 2003; Baroni et al., 2009), language in the social media (cf. Beißwenger and Storrer, 2009) or using language data for sentiment and opinion mining (cf. Pak and Paroubek, 2010). More recently it has been claimed that the mass of digital text available for automatic analysis constitutes a new research paradigm called culturomics (Michel et al., 2010) and that the recent arrival of the digital humanities opens up additional fields of application for corpus linguistics and text mining. Taking the increased amount of digital text data which is readily available into consideration, Gregory Crane has asked the well justified question “what to do with a million books” (Crane, 2006). The question is partially answered by Moretti (2013), who introduces the idea of distant reading of texts, as opposed to the more traditional, hermeneutic close reading, which is particularly popular in the field of literary studies. The idea of distant reading suggests to interpret literary texts on a more generic level by aggregating and analyzing vast amounts of literary data.

All these novel types of applications require basic NLP analysis such as tokenization, lemmatization, POS tagging, etc. Currently, there is no lack of adequate tools than can be used to process large amounts of text in different languages. Prominent examples are GATE (General Architecture for Text Engineering)\(^1\) or the UIMA framework (Unstructured Information Management Infrastructure)\(^2\). However, most of these tools can be characterized as having a fairly high entry barrier\(^3\), confronting non-linguists or non-computer scientists with a steep learning curve, due to the

\(^1\)Available at https://gate.ac.uk; all web resources described in this article were last accessed on May 4, 2014.
\(^2\)Available at http://uima.apache.org
\(^3\)Hardie (2012) gives a short overview of the development of corpus analysis tools while at the same time discussing their usability requirements.
fact that available tools are far from offering a smooth user experience (UX). This may possibly be caused by complex interaction styles typically encountered in command line interfaces, by suboptimal interface design for graphical user interfaces (GUIs) or by the necessity of bringing together disparate tools for a specific task.

Nowadays, a decent UX is a basic requirement for the approval of any application such as office tools or smartphone apps (Nielsen and Budiu, 2013). At the same time, a large and well accepted body of knowledge on usability and user centered design (cf. Shneiderman, 2014) is at our disposal. However, tools developed for scientific purposes like corpus linguistics or text mining do not seem to take advantage of these knowledge sets: It appears that many tools are designed by scientists who may have acquired the necessary programming and software engineering skills, but who are lacking experience and training in user interface design and usability engineering. As a result, many tools are functionally perfect, but an obvious mess as far as usability aspects are concerned.

In the following, we will not introduce yet another tool, but we rather try to provide an integrated, easy-to-use interface to existing NLP and text analysis tools.

2 Tools for NLP and text analysis

There are a number of available tools that can be used for NLP tasks and quantitative text analysis (cf. the notion of distant reading). This section introduces some of the most prominent tools, and also makes the case for the newly created WebNLP prototype.

2.1 Python NLTK

Python NLTK4 (Bird, 2006) is a widely used toolkit that allows the user to perform sophisticated NLP tasks on textual data and to visualize the results. One drawback of NLTK, however, is its command line interface. Also, a basic understanding of the programming language Python is necessary for using it. Depending on the target platform, setting up the NLTK environment can be rather cumbersome. For these reasons, many humanities scholars who are lacking technical skills in Python and command line interfaces may refrain from using NLTK as a means for NLP.

2.2 TreeTagger

TreeTagger5 (Schmid, 1994), another widely used NLP tool, tries to address this issue by providing a GUI (only available for Microsoft Windows)6. The output of the tool can however not be visualized in the same GUI.

2.3 Voyant Tools

Voyant7 (cf. Ruecker et al., 2011) is a web-based tool that is very popular in the digital humanities community. It allows the user to import text documents and performs basic quantitative analysis of the data (word count, term frequency, concordances, etc.). The results of this analysis are visualized in the browser, e.g. as KWIC lists, word clouds or collocation graphs. While the tool is easy to use via a modern web browser, Voyant is lacking a feature to perform basic NLP operations (e.g. lemmatization) on the data before it is analyzed.

2.4 The case for WebNLP

It shows that many of the existing tools are either not accessible to non-technical users due to their technical complexity, or that they are lacking important functionality. The goal of this work is to provide an easy-to-use interface for the import and processing of natural language data that, at the same time, allows the user to visualize the results in different ways. We suggest that NLP and data analysis should be combined in a single interface, as this enables the user to experiment with different NLP parameters while being able to preview the outcome directly in the visualization component of the tool. We believe that the immediate visualization of the results of NLP operations makes the procedure more transparent for non-technical users, and will encourage them to utilize NLP methods for their research.

---

4 Available at http://www.nltk.org/
5 Available at http://www.cis.uni-muenchen.de/~schmid/tools/TreeTagger/
6 Available at http://www.smo.uhi.ac.uk/~oduibhin/oideasra/interfaces/winttinterface.htm
7 Available at http://voyant-tools.org/
In order to achieve this goal, we integrate two existing tools (Python NLTK and Voyant) in a combined user interface named WebNLP\(^8\).

### 3 WebNLP

In this section we describe the basic architecture of WebNLP and explain the main functions and interface components of the tool.

#### 3.1 Tool architecture

We decided to implement the interface as a web service for several reasons:

- No installation or setup of Python NLTK and related Python modules by the user is required.
- Previous experience and familiarity of non-technical users with web services and interactive elements such as form fields, radio buttons, etc.
- Seamless integration of the existing web tool Voyant, which allows the user to quickly analyze and visualize language data in the browser.
- Opportunities for future enhancements of the tool, e.g. collaboration with other users, sharing of data and results, etc.

WebNLP uses a client-server architecture to provide an easy-to-use interface via modern web browsers, while the NLP functions are executed on our server (cf. Figure 1). The interface on the client side is structured in three main areas (cf. Figure 2) which will be explained in more detail in the next section. All interface logic is implemented by means of JavaScript, the page layout utilizes a template from the popular front-end framework Bootstrap\(^9\). The communication between client and server is realized by means of PHP and AJAX.

---

\(^8\)WebNLP is currently available as a prototype at http://dh.mi.ur.de/

\(^9\)Bootstrap is available at http://getbootstrap.com/.
A number of Python NLTK scripts (e.g. for tokenization, lemmatization, etc.) can be called from the client interface and are then executed on the server. The results are displayed on the client side by calling different visualization forms of the web service Voyant, which is embedded in the WebNLP interface as an HTML iframe. At the same time, the NLTK processed data is stored on the server as plain text or as text with XML markup, which are both available for download on the client side.

3.2 Input: Upload of natural language data

The input field allows the user to upload text documents to the NLP application on the server. Data may either be entered directly in the text area form field, or by making use of the file upload dialog. Currently, only files in plain text format (.txt) can be processed by the NLTK tools on our server. Another restriction for the current implementation of the tool is concerned with the language of the text documents: At the moment, only NLTK scripts for processing English language data have been integrated into the tool. However, the system architecture is designed in a modular fashion that allows the administrators to add more NLTK scripts for other languages at a later point in time. Once the data has been uploaded to the server, a first NLTK pre-processing of the data is executed, analyzing the overall number of tokens, types and sentences in the file. This information is displayed at the bottom of the input area after the upload of the file has been completed.

3.3 Options: NLP and visualization parameters

The second area in the interface contains options for the NLP and visualization of the uploaded data. The first set of options selects Python NLTK scripts on the server, that are then executed on the data. In the current tool version, the following main functions are available:

- Stop word filter; can be combined with any other parameter (a list of all stop words may be looked up in the interface)
- Tokenizer (words and punctuation marks)
- Part of speech tagger (tokenization implied)
- Lemmatizer (tokenization implied)
- No NLP (used if no additional NLP processing is needed)
The second group of options allows the user to select a visualization style for the processed data from Voyant. The following visualization options are available in the current WebNLP prototype:

- Wordcloud
- Bubblelines
- Type frequency list
- Collocation clusters
- Terms radio
- Scatter plot
- Type frequency chart
- Relationships
- No visualization

Due to the internal NLP workflow on the server, currently only one NLP and one visualization option can be selected at a time. We are planning to implement a more flexible solution in the next version of WebNLP.

A short evaluation with a sample of five text documents with different file sizes indicates an almost linear increase of processing time related to text size. The smallest of the test documents had a size of 50 kB (approx. 11,000 tokens), the largest document had a size of 4230 kB (approx. 920,000 tokens). POS tagging for the smallest document took 18 seconds, lemmatization took 20 seconds. For the largest document, POS tagging took approx. 24 minutes, lemmatization took approx. 25 minutes. These results indicate that WebNLP in its current implementation is well-suited for small to medium sized corpora, but may be too slow for larger text collections.

3.4 Results: Client-side visualizations and download formats

The third interface area displays the results of the chosen NLP options in the selected Voyant visualization (e.g. word cloud view). The user may also switch to plain text or XML markup view of the results (these formats are also available for download).

Plain text view (original NLTK output):

```plaintext
( VBN , come )
...
```

XML view (custom WebNLP format):

```xml
<root>
  <token>
    <pos>VBN</pos>
    <word>come</word>
  </token>
  ...
</root>
```

4 Conclusions

Our tool provides access to existing NLP and visualization tools via a combined interface, thus acting as a GUI wrapper for these applications. While a thorough usability evaluation is still missing, we are confident that NLP functionality from the Python NLTK becomes more accessible through WebNLP, and that the combination with visualizations from the Voyant set of tools will be attractive for many applications of text technology. In its current implementation, WebNLP should be treated as a prototype that illustrates how a web-based interface to basic NLP and text visualization functions can be realized by means of standard web technologies. We are, however, planning to implement more NLTK functions, and to improve the performance as well as the interface of the service in the future.
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